

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 23 2005

Docket No.: 2038-297

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Toshio KOBAYASHI

: Confirmation No. 6067

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/666,732

: Group Art Unit: 1771

Filed: September 22, 2003

: Examiner: Elizabeth M. Cole

For: NONWOVEN FABRIC AND PROCESS FOR MAKING THE SAME

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Sir:

By Official Action mailed *May 31, 2005*, restriction to one of the following inventions is required:

Group I: Claims 1-5, drawn to an elastic nonwoven fabric, classified in class 442, subclass 329.

Group II: Claims 6-10, drawn to method of making a nonwoven fabric, classified in class 264, subclass various.

In response, Applicants hereby elect Group I, upon which *claims 1-5* are readable.

The election was made *with traverse* because the Examiner has failed to demonstrate why the hypothetical process mentioned in paragraph 2 of the Restriction Requirement can be

Application No.: 10/666,732Docket No.: 2038-297

regarded as a *materially* different process. Applicants are, therefore, not persuaded that a proper Restriction Requirement has been set forth.

The Restriction Requirement is further *traversed* because the search and examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. In the relevant art, i.e., fabric design and fabrication, references often describe both the fabric's structure and manufacturing method, as will be apparent to the Examiner upon conducting a search for prior art. Therefore, both Inventions I and II can be covered in a single search. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the search and examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden on the Examiner.

In view of the above, withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement and consideration of all claims pending in the instant application are believed appropriate and therefore courteously solicited.


Early examination on the merits is respectfully requested.

Application No.: 10/666,732Docket No.: 2038-297

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 07-1337 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE HAUPTMAN & BERNER, LLP



Benjamin J. Hauptman
Registration No. 29,310

USPTO Customer No. 22429
1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 684-1111 BJH/KL/kb
(703) 518-5499 Facsimile
Date: June 23, 2005

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING FACSIMILE TRANSMITTED
TO THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW

Kindra Bryant
TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON SIGNING CERTIFICATION

SIGNATURE

June 23, 2005
DATE

703-872-9306
FACSIMILE NUMBER